



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/723,026	11/25/2003	Hyun Woo Oh	5895P049	8846
8791 7590 07/26/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY SUNNYVALE, CA 94085-4040			EXAMINER HADIZONOOZ, BANAFSHEH	
			ART UNIT 3714	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 07/26/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/723,026	OH ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Banafsheh Hadizonooz	3714	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 November 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 25 November 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>09/19/2005</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Detailed Action

In response to the correspondence filed on 11/25/2003, claims 1-11 are pending in this office action. This office action is made Non-Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gomas et al (US 2004/0186713) in View of Kaye et al (US 2005/0015255) and further in view of official notice.

[Claims 1 and 8]: Regarding claim 1, Gomas discloses a communication service system for disabled persons, comprising:

a terminal unit (e.g. client computer) implemented for a disabled person and a non-disabled person that access a wired/wireless integrated network and desire to be provided with communication services (See P.1, [0011]), a communication interface for the wired/wireless (e.g. portable electronics) integrated network (See P.2, [0023]), and providing voice and/or text communication services for the disabled person (See Fig.4, element 127). Gomas does not specifically disclose a communication characteristics registered with respect to the disabled person and the non-disabled person, however Kaye discloses such in his invention (e.g. user profile with user disability information) (See Abstract). Gomas also does not

Art Unit: 3714

specifically disclose an open API unit for interfacing purposes. Nevertheless, the examiner takes official notice that it is obvious to provide users with an interface. Using API as a coherent interface is well known in the industry. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gomas/Kaye invention to provide an efficient communication between the disabled person and the server computer or the users without disabilities.

[Claim 2]: Regarding claim 2, Gomas further discloses a communication service system, wherein the terminal unit has user interfaces with communication characteristics for the communication services which can be used by a non-disabled person, an auditory disabled person, a visually disabled person, and a speed disabled person, respectively (See P.2, [0022]—[0024]).

[Claim 3]: Regarding claim 3, Gomas discloses a system, wherein the non-disabled person has communication characteristics of hearing, speaking, reading and writing that are used as an interface, the visually disabled person has communication characteristics of hearing, speaking and writing that are used as an interface (See P.2, [0024]-[0025]). Gomas does not specifically teach that the auditory disabled person has communication characteristics of speaking, reading and writing that are used as an interface, and the speech disabled person has communication characteristics of hearing, reading and writing that are used as an interface, however including an interface in order to enable people with other disabilities to use the system would have been a mere design choice.

Art Unit: 3714

[Claim 4]: As per claim 4, Gomas/Kaye doesn't specifically disclose an open API gateway unit which supports protocols, such as Session Initiation Protocol (SIP), Media Gateway Control (MAGACO) protocol, H. 323 protocol, Integrated Services Digital Network (ISDN) User Part (ISUP), Mobile Application Protocol (MAP), Intelligent Network Application Protocol (INAP), and Capabilities Application Protocol (CAP), which are used in the wired/wireless integrated network, and exploits middleware, such as Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) and Extensible Markup Language (XML) so as to communicate with the open API communication server. Nevertheless, the examiner takes official notice that it is obvious to provide users with an interface. Using API as a coherent interface is well known in the industry. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gomas/Kaye invention to provide an efficient communication between the disabled person and the server computer or the users without disabilities.

[Claim 5]: Regarding claim 5, Gomas discloses a system comprising a framework unit (e.g. interface) for performing a plurality of functions including access to the communication services, authentication and discovery of the communication services (See P.4, [0065]), integrity management, load management, and fault management; and a service capability feature unit for providing a plurality of functions, including call control, messaging, user interaction, terminal capabilities, mobility, connectivity, presence and availability, and service routing, so as to allow application services to use resources and

Art Unit: 3714

functions of the network (See P.4, [0064]-[0067]). Gomas does not specifically disclose an open API unit. However the examiner takes official notice that it is obvious to provide users with an interface. Using API as a coherent interface is well known in the industry. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gomas/Kaye invention to provide an efficient communication between the disabled person and the server computer or the users without disabilities.

[Claim 6]: With respect to claim 6, Gomas discloses wherein the communication server unit is operated so that, if a connection request is received from a specific user terminal to use a corresponding communication service, the server unit routes the communication service to the voice and text conversion unit when voice and/or text conversion is required in consideration of communication characteristics of transmitting and receiving user terminals, and provides a communication service corresponding to voice and/or text returned from the voice and text conversion unit (See P.2, [0030]-[0031], and Fig.4).

[Claim 7]: Regarding claim 7, Gomas further discloses a communication service unit for providing the communication service in consideration of communication characteristics between terminals of disabled persons and between terminals of a non-disabled person and a disabled person (See P.1, [0011]); a connection unit for supporting an interface with the wired/wireless integrated network and setting up a call in response to a communication service request

Art Unit: 3714

received from the user (See P.4, [0062]);

a service routing unit for routing a corresponding communication service to support conversion into voice and/or text data in consideration of the characteristics of the respective terminals at the time of providing the communication service (See P.7, [0095]). Gomas does not specifically disclose a registration unit for registering characteristics of the respective terminals when the terminals use the communication service first time. However, Kaye discloses such in his invention (e.g. user profile with user disability information) (See Abstract) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gomas/Kaye invention to provide an efficient communication between the disabled person and the server computer or the users without disabilities.[Claim 9]: Regarding claim 9, Gomas discloses a system wherein the communication characteristics of the terminals include hearing, speaking, reading and writing communication characteristics (See Abstract).

[Claim 10]: kaye discloses a system further comprising the step of setting up a call from the transmitting user terminal to the opposite user terminal in response to the communication establishment request at step b) (See Fig.1).

[Claim 11]: With respect to claim 11, Gomas discloses a communication service method wherein the step d) comprises the steps of:

the open API communication server routing the message to the voice and text conversion center so as to perform voice/text conversion in consideration of the communication characteristics of the respective terminals; the voice and text

Art Unit: 3714

.conversion center converting the message into voice and/or text depending on the communication characteristics of the opposite user terminal and returning the voice and/or text to the server; and the server transmitting the voice and/or text returned from the voice and text conversion center to the opposite user terminal, thus providing the communication service (See P.1,[0011]-P.2, [0021]). Gomas does not specifically disclose an open API unit for interfacing purposes.

Nevertheless, the examiner takes official notice that it is obvious to provide users with an interface. Using API as a coherent interface is well known in the industry. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Gomas/Kaye invention to provide an efficient communication between the disabled person and the server computer or the users without disabilities.

Pertinent Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Park et al (US 2003/0115058) discloses two-way communication via network between the users

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Banafsheh Hadizonooz whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272- 6788. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

BH


ROBERT E. PEZZUTO
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER